

Minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Municipal Council meeting, held at City Hall, 303 Beaconsfield Boulevard, Beaconsfield, Québec, on Monday, October 26, 2020, at 8:00 p.m.

Due to the current situation regarding COVID-19, the Provincial Government adopted a directive on March 15, 2020, allowing Council meetings to be held without the presence of the public and allowing their members to take part, deliberate and vote at a meeting by any means of communication. This meeting can be viewed by live and differed webcast.

#### **WERE PRESENT:**

All members of Council are present as follows:

- His Honour the Mayor Georges Bourelle is present in person.
- Councillors Dominique Godin, Karen Messier, Robert Mercuri, David Newell, Roger Moss are present at distance using video transmission.

#### **ALSO PRESENT:**

Patrice Boileau, Director General, Nathalie Libersan-Laniel, City Clerk and Director of Public Affairs both present in person.

# 1. OPENING OF MEETING

His Honour the Mayor calls the meeting to order.

#### 2. <u>AGENDA</u>

2.1 Adoption of the agenda of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Council meeting of October 26, 2020

# 2020-10-330

It is moved by Councillor Roger Moss, seconded by Councillor David Newell and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to adopt the agenda of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Council meeting of October 26, 2020, with the following modification:

Title of item 60.7 is modified as follows: Resolution in support of the initiative Movember raising awareness for men's health, and more specifically, calling November 19, Prostate Cancer Awareness Day

# **PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD**

Commandant Bianchi from PDQ 1 provides updates and information regarding citizens' security in Beaconsfield and confirms a decline in criminal activities in Beaconsfield.

His Honour the Mayor reveals information on the Covid-19 situation in Beaconsfield and mentions that at the present time, there are 102 cases recorded in our City which is the second lowest on the island of Montreal. He recognizes that there has been fairly intense activity in the last 14 days with 15 new cases. Eight deaths from Covid have been confirmed in Beaconsfield. The Government has extended the red zone measures until November 23, although they will review in two weeks to determine if the measures can be eased. He adds that although we seem to have reached a plateau in the number of cases in Québec, he encourages all residents to continue to follow the red zone sanitary measures.

His Honour the Mayor would like to wish a safe and happy Halloween to all and advises residents to consult the City's website concerning the Public Health safety measures to follow on that evening.

His Honour the Mayor announces that in order to respect veterans' health and as suggested by Public Health services, the City and the Heroes Committee have decided not to hold a Remembrance Ceremony in Heroes Park this year. He invites residents to consult the remembrance message on the City's website and suggests viewing the National Remembrance Ceremony in Ottawa.



His Honour would like to convey a very important message to all residents as he points out that the Beaconsfield merchants have been putting a lot of effort to survive during the pandemic, he suggests that residents encourage local merchants and buy locally in the business locations of the City.

The City Clerk announces the beginning of the public question period. Questions from the public for the meeting needed to be sent by email to greffe-registry@beaconsfield.ca, before noon, on Monday, October 26, 2020.

A resident asks when will the boat ramps open for boat trailers.

His Honour the Mayor indicates that the ramps are open and accessible for small craft. He adds that Council has decided not to allow motorized craft and trailers, but he mentions that for a small fee, Lord Reading Marina offers access to a boat ramp allowing motorized craft and trailers.

A resident asks how does the sound barrier specified in the 2020 MTQ/Beaconsfield preliminary study compare with those recommended in the 1987 and 2010 MTQ reports. He also asks Council to explain why this citizens' health related study is only at the preliminary stage after almost 5 years since Council approved the funds. He also asks if there is any reason why the sound barrier preliminary report, received in February 2020, hasn't been shared with the residents.

His Honour the Mayor indicates that the report has not been received yet. Therefore, Council cannot compare the information with the 1987 and 2010 reports. As for the time this project is taking, he indicates that the project is under the responsibility of the MTQ and to contact the MTQ for an answer. As for the reason why the report has not been shared with residents, he repeats that there is no report received in 2020. When Council receives the report, the City will make the proper analysis and invite the MTQ to give a public presentation of its report. His Honour the Mayor indicates that, with all due respect, he will not answer any further questions at Council regarding this project until a report is received from the MTQ, which he hopes will be received during winter 2021 at the latest.

A resident asks for information about the proposed modifications with regards to the property markers dates and to the definition of statutory holiday mentioned at item 40.1 of the agenda.

His Honour the Mayor indicates that once By-Law BEAC-033-8 is adopted, it will allow property markers to be installed "between April 15 and October 31". The current By-Law allows property markers to be installed "between May 1 and October 31". The modification to the definition of statutory holiday is to specify that Canada Day is on July 1 only and for the application of this by-Law, it cannot be moved to July 2<sup>nd</sup> when July 1<sup>st</sup> falls on a Sunday.

A resident states that the Mayor recently admitted that noise pollution along Highway 20 is very bad for the health of the citizens. He adds that the Mayor recently published the preliminary estimated cost of building a noise barrier along the south side of Highway 20 without giving more details on this project. He adds that the Mayor and the Beaconsfield Polluted Corridor Initiative (BPCI) agree that these new costs could represent too much of a burden for the Beaconsfield taxpayers. He mentions that the BPCI states that as much as one third of the population of Beaconsfield, plus 2,000 kids in three schools and a daycare are aggressed daily by this Highway, and that the BPCI publicly offered to the Mayor its collaboration to meet the Minister of Transport as it did in 2015 in order to obtain a better proposal for the City. He asks the Mayor to accept to stand with the BPCI on this matter and if he could contact the Minister of Transports to schedule a meeting on this issue in person.

His Honour the Mayor indicates that, with all due respect, as long as Council has not received the final report on the preliminary study on this project, it is neither advantageous nor constructive to proceed as proposed by the resident.

The question period ends at 8:25 p.m.



#### 10. MINUTES

10.1 Adoption of the minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Council meeting of September 21, 2020

2020-10-331 It is moved by Councillor Robert Mercuri, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Council meeting of September 21, 2020, at 8:00 p.m.

10.2 Approval of the minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's Special Council meeting of October 9, 2020

2020-10-332 It is moved by Councillor Karen Messier, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's Special Council meeting of October 9, 2020, at 8:00 a.m.

# 20. <u>CONTRACTS</u>

Awarding of contract TP 2020-09 for snow removal in the Beaurepaire Village and other sectors of the City for the winter seasons 2020-2021, 2021-2022, 2022-2023 with two (2) renewal options to the lowest conforming bidder, Paysagiste et Déneigement NJ (9203-2531 Québec Inc.), in the amount of \$21,069.16, all taxes included

2020-10-333 It is moved by Councillor Dominique Godin, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to award contract TP 2020-09 for snow removal in the Beaurepaire Village and other sectors of the City for the winter seasons 2020-2021, 2021-2022, 2022-2023 with two (2) renewal options to the lowest conforming bidder, Paysagiste et Déneigement NJ (9203-2531 Québec Inc.), in the amount of \$21,069.16, all taxes included; and

To authorize the Finance and Treasury Department to charge the expense to budget code 02-331-00-435.

20.2 Renewal of contract TP 2016-10 for professional services for the fiveyear preventive maintenance program of the water supply system for the year 2021 with Aqua Data Inc., in the amount of \$99,100, all taxes included

2020-10-334 It is moved by Councillor Roger Moss, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the renewal of contract TP 2016-10 for professional services for the five-year preventive maintenance program of the water supply system for the year 2021 with Aqua Data Inc., in the amount of \$99,100, all taxes included; and

To authorize the Finance and Treasury Department to charge the expense to budget code 02-413-00-447. The treasurer must foresee sufficient funds in the forthcoming 2021 budget to provide for this expense.

20.3 Participation in a group purchase for insurance to protect the privacy and reputation of elected and senior officials as well as penal liability insurance for health and safety matters (C-21)

2020-10-335 CONSIDERING THAT pursuant to section 29.9.1 of the Cities and Towns Act, and 14.7.1 and following of the Municipal Code, the City of Beaconsfield wishes to join the "Union des Municipalités du Québec" in a group purchase for insurance to protect the privacy and reputation of elected and senior officials as well as penal liability insurance for health and safety matters (C-21), for the period of December 31, 2020, to December 31, 2025;



It is moved by Councillor Dominique Godin, seconded by Councillor David Newell and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the City of Beaconsfield hereby joins the purchasing group of the "Union des Municipalités du Québec" for the purchase of insurance to protect the privacy and reputation of elected and senior officials as well as penal liability insurance for health and safety matters (C-21), for the period of December 31, 2020, to December 31, 2025;

THAT the Mayor and the City Clerk are authorized to sign, for and on behalf of the City, the agreement entitled "Entente de regroupement de municipalités au sein de l'Union des municipalités du Québec relativement à l'achat en commun d'assurances protection de la réputation et de la vie privée des élus et hauts fonctionnaires et d'assurances responsabilité pénale en matière de santé et sécurité (C-21)" submitted and attached hereto form an integral part thereof as if recited at length.

### 30. FINANCE AND TREASURY

30.1 Approval of the list of accounts payable as of October 26, 2020, and of the list of pre-authorized payments for the period of September 10, 2020, to September 20, 2020, and for the period of September 22, 2020, to October 14, 2020, for a total disbursement of \$2,457,378.74

2020-10-336 CONSIDERING the lists submitted by the Treasurer regarding the payment of expenses for financial and investment activities;

It is moved by Councillor Robert Mercuri, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED:

To approve the list of accounts payable as of October 26, 2020, regarding expenses for financial and investment activities totalling \$583,038.49; and

To approve the list of pre-authorized payments from September 10, 2020, to September 20, 2020, and for the period of September 22, 2020, to October 14, 2020, totalling \$1,452,791.48 and electronic payments, for the same period, of salaries paid to municipal employees, bank fees and the debt service, totalling \$421,548.77; and

That all these disbursements totalling \$2,457,378.74 be drawn from the City's bank account at Royal Bank of Canada, Beaconsfield branch.

# 40. <u>BY-LAWS</u>

40.1 Filing and notice of motion of Draft By-law BEAC-033-8 entitled "By-law amending By-law BEAC-033 on nuisances in order to modify the definition of statutory holiday and the applicable dates for property markers"

2020-10-337 Councillor Karen Messier files Draft By-law BEAC-033-8 entitled "By-law amending By-law BEAC-033 on nuisances in order to modify the definition of statutory holiday and the applicable dates for property markers" and gives notice of motion of intent to submit for adoption at a subsequent meeting of Council, said draft, in compliance with the *Cities and Towns Act*.

40.2 Authorization to correct nuisances at 211 Wescroft and to bill the costs to the owner, in virtue of By-law BEAC-033

2020-10-338 Considering that a 10-day notice to correct nuisances at 211 Wescroft was sent to the owner, in conformity with By-law BEAC-033, but the notice was not respected;

It is moved by Councillor Roger Moss, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the Public Works Department be authorized, in virtue of By-law BEAC-033, section 11.3, to perform the necessary corrective work at 211 Wescroft and that the City bill the costs to the owner.



# 45. <u>URBAN PLANNING</u>

45.1 Request for a minor exemption for the property located at 7 Jean-Charlebois

2020-10-339 CONSIDERING THAT a request for minor exemption was presented to the Planning Advisory Committee meeting held on September 30, 2020, for the property located at 7 Jean-Charlebois;

CONSIDERING THAT the request for minor exemption is to authorize that the projected main building be located at 11.65 metres from the front property line, while the zoning by-law, in accordance with the insertion rule of a single-family dwelling between two existing buildings, requires that the maximum distance between this main building and the front property line be 7.90 metres;

CONSIDERING THAT a minor exemption application must respect the conditions enumerated in section 2.9 in By-law BEAC-044 concerning minor exemptions;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee considers that the conditions listed in By-law BEAC-044 are fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the minor exemption request to authorize that the projected main building be located at 11.65 metres from the front property line, while the zoning by-law, in accordance with the insertion rule of a single-family dwelling between two existing buildings, requires that the maximum distance between this main building and the front property line be 7.90 metres, and this, for the property located at 7 Jean-Charlebois;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the request for a minor exemption to authorize that the projected main building be located at 11.65 metres from the front property line, while the zoning by-law, in accordance with the insertion rule of a single-family dwelling between two existing buildings, requires that the maximum distance between this main building and the front property line be 7.90 metres, and this, for the property located at 7 Jean-Charlebois.

45.2 Request for a minor exemption for the property located at 300 Saint-Charles

2020-10-340 CONSIDERING THAT a request for minor exemption was presented to the Planning Advisory Committee meeting held on September 30, 2020, for the property located at 300 Saint-Charles;

CONSIDERING THAT the request for a minor exemption is: to authorize the installation of a sign attached flat against the front wall of the main building, while the zoning by-law doesn't allow the installation of this type of sign for places of worship;

CONSIDERING THAT a minor exemption application must respect the conditions enumerated in section 2.9 in By-law BEAC-044 concerning minor exemptions;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee considers that the conditions listed in By-law BEAC-044 are not respected, and this because the application of the provisions of the by-law in cause does not create a serious prejudice to the applicant;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the minor exemption request to authorize the installation of a sign attached flat against the front wall of the main building, while the zoning by-law doesn't allow the installation of this type of sign for places of worship, and this, for the property located at 300 Saint-Charles;



It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the request for a minor exemption to authorize the installation of a sign attached flat against the front wall of the main building, while the zoning by-law doesn't allow the installation of this type of sign for places of worship, and this, for the property located at 300 Saint-Charles.

45.3 Request for minor exemptions for the property located at 311 Penn

2020-10-341 CONSIDERING THAT a request for minor exemptions was presented to the Planning Advisory Committee meeting held on September 30, 2020, for the property located at 311 Penn;

CONSIDERING THAT the request for minor exemptions is: (1) to authorize that the existing in ground pool be located at 1.95 metres from the right property line, while the zoning by-law requires that the minimum distance between a pool and a property line be 2 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.05 metres in the lateral right setback, (2) to authorize that the existing livable space be located at 2.96 metres from the left property line, while the zoning by-law requires that the minimum distance between a livable space and a lateral property line be 3 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.04 metres in the lateral left setback and (3) to authorize that the total of side setbacks of the existing main building be of 6.10 metres, while the zoning by-law requires that the total of combined side setbacks of the main building on this property be of at least 7.69 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 1.59 metres in the combined side setbacks;

CONSIDERING THAT a minor exemption application must respect the conditions enumerated in section 2.9 in By-law BEAC-044 concerning minor exemptions;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee considers that the conditions listed in By-law BEAC-044 are fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the minor exemptions request: (1) to authorize that the existing in ground pool be located at 1.95 metres from the right property line, while the zoning by-law requires that the minimum distance between a pool and a property line be 2 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.05 metres in the lateral right setback, (2) to authorize that the existing livable space be located at 2.96 metres from the left property line, while the zoning by-law requires that the minimum distance between a livable space and a lateral property line be 3 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.04 metres in the lateral left setback and (3) to authorize that the total of side setbacks of the existing main building be of 6.10 metres, while the zoning by-law requires that the total of combined side setbacks of the main building on this property be of at least 7.69 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 1.59 metres in the combined side setbacks for the property located at 311 Penn;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the request for minor exemptions: (1) to authorize that the existing in ground pool be located at 1.95 metres from the right property line, while the zoning by-law requires that the minimum distance between a pool and a property line be 2 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.05 metres in the lateral right setback, (2) to authorize that the existing livable space be located at 2.96 metres from the left property line, while the zoning by-law requires that the minimum distance between a livable space and a lateral property line be 3 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.04 metres in the lateral left setback and (3) to authorize that the total of side setbacks of the existing main building be of 6.10 metres, while the zoning by-law requires that the total of combined side setbacks of the main building on this property be of at least 7.69 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 1.59 metres in the combined side setbacks for the property located at 311 Penn.



2020-10-342

CONSIDERING THAT a request for minor exemptions was presented to the Planning Advisory Committee meeting held on September 30, 2020, for the property located at 382 Fletchers;

CONSIDERING THAT the request for minor exemptions is: (1) to authorize that the existing main building be located at 2.90 metres from the right property line, while the zoning by-law requires that the minimum distance between a main building and a lateral property line be 3 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.10 metres in the lateral right setback and (2) to authorize that the total of side setbacks of the existing main building be of 7.34 metres, while the zoning by-law requires that the total of combined side setbacks of the main building on this property be of at least 8.07 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.73 metres in the combined side setbacks;

CONSIDERING THAT a minor exemption application must respect the conditions enumerated in section 2.9 in By-law BEAC-044 concerning minor exemptions;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee considers that the conditions listed in By-law BEAC-044 are fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the minor exemptions request: (1) to authorize that the existing main building be located at 2.90 metres from the right property line, while the zoning by-law requires that the minimum distance between a main building and a lateral property line be 3 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.10 metres in the lateral right setback and (2) to authorize that the total of side setbacks of the existing main building be of 7.34 metres, while the zoning by-law requires that the total of combined side setbacks of the main building on this property be of at least 8.07 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.73 metres in the combined side setbacks for the property located at 382 Fletchers;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the request for minor exemptions: (1) to authorize that the existing main building be located at 2.90 metres from the right property line, while the zoning bylaw requires that the minimum distance between a main building and a lateral property line be 3 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.10 metres in the lateral right setback and (2) to authorize that the total of side setbacks of the existing main building be of 7.34 metres, while the zoning by-law requires that the total of combined side setbacks of the main building on this property be of at least 8.07 metres, resulting in an encroachment of 0.73 metres in the combined side setbacks for the property located at 382 Fletchers.

45.5 Request for a permit for the construction of a main building located at 7 Jean-Charlebois

2020-10-343 CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the construction of a main building located at 7 Jean-Charlebois;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the issuance of a permit for the construction of a main building located at 7 Jean-Charlebois;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the issuance of a permit for the construction of a main building located at 7 Jean-Charlebois.



45.6

Request for a permit for the construction of a main building located at 50 York

2020-10-344

CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the construction of a main building located at 50 York;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents submitted with the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volume is not respected because the criterion aiming to diminish the difference of height and volume with neighbouring buildings through the architectural treatment is not fulfilled, the objective to propose a sustainable, quality architecture is not respected because the criterion on the proposed style that must be inspired from the existing architectural styles on the stretch of street is not fulfilled and the objective to mitigate the impact of garages on the facade of the main building is not respected because the criterion to provide a setback, in the form of a notch, between an integrated or attached garage and the entire building facade is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the issuance of a permit for the construction of a main building located at 50 York;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the issuance of a permit for the construction of a main building located at 50 York.

45.7

Request for a permit for the construction of a main building located at 118 Woodland

2020-10-345

CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the construction of a main building located at 118 Woodland;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the issuance of a permit for the construction of a main building located at 118 Woodland;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the issuance of a permit for the construction of a main building located at 118 Woodland.

45.8

Request for a permit for the extension of the main building located at 61 Devon

2020-10-346

CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the extension of a main building located at 61 Devon;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;



CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volume is not respected because the criterion aiming to diminish the difference of height and volume with neighbouring buildings through the architectural treatment is not fulfilled, the objective to propose a sustainable, quality architecture is not respected because the criterion on the proposed style that must be inspired from the existing architectural styles on the stretch of street is not fulfilled and the objective to respect the architectural features of the main building in the case of an extension is not respected because the criterion on the similarity of the roof's extension with the existing roof is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 61 Devon;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 61 Devon.

45.9 Request for a permit for the extension of the main building located at 63 Madsen

2020-10-347 CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the extension of a main building located at 63 Madsen;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volume is not respected because the criterion aiming to diminish the difference of height and volume with neighbouring buildings through the architectural treatment is not fulfilled and the objective to propose a sustainable, quality architecture is not respected because the criteria on (1) the proposed style that must be inspired from the existing architectural styles on the stretch of street, (2) the compatibility of the exterior cladding materials with the surrounding buildings and (3) the harmony of materials and architectural components are not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 63 Madsen;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 63 Madsen.

45.10 Request for a permit for the extension of the main building located at 106 Fieldfare

2020-10-348 CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the extension of a main building located at 106 Fieldfare;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volume is not respected because the criterion aiming to diminish the difference of height and



volume with neighbouring buildings through the architectural treatment is not fulfilled, the objective to propose a sustainable, quality architecture is not respected because the criterion on the proposed style that must be inspired from the existing architectural styles on the stretch of street is not fulfilled and the objective to respect the architectural features of the main building in the case of an extension is not respected because the criterion on the similarity of the roof's extension with the existing roof is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 106 Fieldfare;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 106 Fieldfare.

45.11 Request for a permit for the extension of the main building located at 253 Sherwood

2020-10-349 CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the extension of a main building located at 253 Sherwood;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 253 Sherwood;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 253 Sherwood.

45.12 Request for a permit for the extension of the main building located at 406 Lakeshore

2020-10-350 CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the extension of a main building located at 406 Lakeshore;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volume is not respected because the criterion aiming to diminish the difference of height and volume with neighbouring buildings through the architectural treatment is not fulfilled and the objective to propose a sustainable, quality architecture is not respected because the criterion on the harmony of materials and architectural components is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 406 Lakeshore;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 406 Lakeshore.



45.13 Request for a permit for the extension of the main building located at 587 Chelsea

2020-10-351 CONSIDERING THAT a permit application was filed for the extension of a main building located at 587 Chelsea;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volume is not respected because the criterion aiming to diminish the difference of height and volume with neighbouring buildings through the architectural treatment is not fulfilled, the objective to propose a sustainable, quality architecture is not respected because the criterion on the compatibility of the exterior cladding materials with the surrounding buildings is not fulfilled and the objective to respect the architectural features of the main building in the case of an extension is not respected because the criterion on the similarity of the roof's extension with the existing roof is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 587 Chelsea;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the issuance of a building permit for the extension of the main building located at 587 Chelsea.

45.14 Request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 87 Harwood Gate

2020-10-352 CONSIDERING THAT a request for a renovation permit was filed for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 87 Harwood Gate;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 87 Harwood Gate;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 87 Harwood Gate.

45.15 Request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 100 St-Andrew

2020-10-353 CONSIDERING THAT a request for a renovation permit was filed for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 100 St-Andrew;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;



CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 100 St-Andrew;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 100 St-Andrew.

45.16 Request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 249 Sherbrooke

2020-10-354 CONSIDERING THAT a renovation permit was filed for the modification of the facade of the main building located at 249 Sherbrooke;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents submitted with the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose a sustainable, quality architecture is not respected because the criterion on the harmony of materials and architectural components is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the issuance of a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of the main building located at 249 Sherbrooke;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the issuance of a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of the main building located at 249 Sherbrooke:

# **VOTES IN FAVOUR:**

None

# **VOTES OPPOSED**:

Councillors Dominique Godin, Karen Messier, Robert Mercuri, David Newell, Roger Moss

# THE MOTION IS DEFEATED.

It is then moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of the main building located at 249 Sherbrooke **according to new material samples submitted.** 

# **VOTES IN FAVOUR:**

Councillors Dominique Godin, Karen Messier, Robert Mercuri, David Newell, Roger Moss

# VOTES OPPOSED:

None

THE MOTION IS CARRIED. THE REQUEST FOR A RENOVATION PERMIT FOR THE MODIFICATION OF THE FACADE OF THE MAIN BUILDING LOCATED AT 249 SHERBROOKE IS <u>APPROVED ON THE CONDITION THAT THE NEW MATERIAL SAMPLES SUBMITTED ARE USED.</u>

45.17 Request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 302 Pinetree



2020-10-355

CONSIDERING THAT a request for a renovation permit was filed for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 302 Pinetree;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 302 Pinetree;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 302 Pinetree.

45.18

Request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 578 Hillsite

2020-10-356

CONSIDERING THAT a request for a renovation permit was filed for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 578 Hillsite;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 578 Hillsite;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the request for a renovation permit for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 578 Hillsite.

45.19 Request to modify the already approved plans at 180 Acres

2020-10-357

CONSIDERING THAT on June 22, 2020, Council adopted resolution 2020-06-193 approving the plans and authorizing the issuance of a permit at 180 Acres;

CONSIDERING THAT a request has been filed in order to approve new plans;

CONSIDERING THAT this modification application must respect the applicable objectives and criteria included in By-law BEAC-098 on Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose a sustainable, quality architecture is not respected because the criterion on the harmony of materials and architectural components is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the application to modify the already approved plans at 180 Acres;



It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the application to modify the already approved plans at 180 Acres.

45.20 Request to modify the already approved plans at 184 Acres

2020-10-358 CONSIDERING THAT on March 23, 2020, Council adopted resolution 2020-03-092 approving the plans and authorizing the issuance of a permit at 184 Acres;

CONSIDERING THAT a request has been filed in order to approve new plans;

CONSIDERING THAT this modification application must respect the applicable objectives and criteria included in By-law BEAC-098 on Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the September 30, 2020, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the application to modify the already approved plans at 184 Acres:

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the application to modify the already approved plans at 184 Acres.

Acceptance of an amount of \$39,020 for park fees to be paid by the owner of lot 1 417 404 (118 Woodland) to the City, according to By-law BEAC-128 concerning contributions for the establishment, maintenance and improvement of parks, playgrounds and natural areas on the City of Beaconsfield's territory

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to ACCEPT the amount of \$39,020 for park fees to be paid by the owner of lot 1 417 404 (118 Woodland) to the City, according to By-law BEAC-128 concerning contributions for the establishment of parks, playgrounds and natural areas on the City of Beaconsfield's territory, equivalent to 10% of the \$390,200 municipal value of lot 1 417 404, and to transfer this sum to a fund specially reserved for park fees.

# 53. <u>COMMITTEES</u>

53.1 Minutes of the Finance Committee meeting of August 19, 2020

2020-10-360 It is moved by Councillor Roger Moss, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the Finance Committee meeting of August 19, 2020.

53.2 Minutes of the Traffic Advisory Committee meeting of September 25, 2020

2020-10-361 It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the Traffic Advisory Committee meeting of September 25, 2020.

53.3 Minutes of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting of September 30, 2020

2020-10-362 It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that Council take act of the minutes of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting of September 30, 2020.



53.4 Minutes of the Environmental Advisory Committee meeting of September 15, 2020 2020-10-363 It is moved by Councillor Karen Messier, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the Environmental Advisory Committee meeting of September 15, 2020. 53.5 Nomination of a member to the Pension Fund Committee 2020-10-364 It is moved by Councillor Roger Moss, seconded by Councillor David Newell and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the nomination of Mr. Frank Belvedere as member of the Pension Fund, effective as of October 26, 2020, for a one (1) year mandate. 60. **GENERAL** 60.1 Adoption of the City of Beaconsfield's calendar of regular Council meetings for 2021 2020-10-365 It is moved by Councillor Dominique Godin, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to adopt the City of Beaconsfield's calendar of regular Council meetings for 2021. 60.2 Deposit of the Annual Municipal Action Plan for disabled persons 2020-10-366 Council takes note of the tabling of the Annual Municipal Action Plan for persons with disabilities. 60.3 Motion of congratulations - Stanley Cup Victory by Mr. Alex Killorn It is moved by Councillor Robert Mercuri, seconded by Councillor Roger 2020-10-367 Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that Municipal Council, CONGRATULATES Mr. Alex Killorn for the best season of his NHL career in 2019-20 by helping the Tampa Bay Lightning win the Stanley Cup for the first time since 2004; RECOGNIZES the remarkable performance of this former Beaconsfield resident, whose parents still live in our City, and who scored 5 goals and 10 points in 25 postseason games, including one goal and one assist in Game 4 of the Stanley Cup Final against the Dallas Stars; and WISHES HIM the best of success in all his endeavours on and off ice. 60.4 Authorization to support the Lakeshore Soccer Club for their application to the Club Licensing Program offered by the Canadian Soccer Association 2020-10-368 CONSIDERING that the Lakeshore Soccer Club is a recognized

CONSIDERING that the Lakeshore Soccer Club must be part of the Canadian Club Licensing Program to operate in 2021;

association by the City of Beaconsfield;

CONSIDERING that the Lakeshore Soccer Club must attach a letter of support from the City of Beaconsfield to the Canadian Soccer Association when registering;

It is moved by Councillor Karen Messier, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that Council approve this request and mandate Ms. Mélanie Côté, Director, Culture and Leisure to provide a letter of support to the Lakeshore Soccer Association on behalf of the City of Beaconsfield.



60.5

Modification to resolution 2020-09-326 - Request to authorize night operations for a film shooting at 306 Pinetree

2020-10-369

CONSIDERING the resolution 2020-09-326 adopted at the September 21, 2020, meeting, requesting authorization for night operations for a film shooting at 306 Pinetree;

CONSIDERING that since the adoption of resolution 2020-09-326, the date of the night operations is modified from November 5 to 6, 2020, to November 9 to 10, 2020, between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m. at 306 Pinetree;

CONSIDERING that residents of the neighbourhood directly affected by this filming operation have been informed of the modified night operation date by written notice;

It is moved by Councillor Dominique Godin, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to modify the resolution 2020-09-326 and authorize an overnight filming shooting operation during the night of November 9 to 10, 2020, (instead of November 5 to 6, 2020) between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m. at 306 Pinetree.

60.6

Motion expressing appreciation to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Ms. Andrée Laforest, for the financial assistance granted to the City of Beaconsfield in the context of the Covid-19 pandemic

2020-10-370

CONSIDERING THAT the Government of Québec had announced the provision of financial aids for municipalities in the amount of 800 million dollars in order to attenuate the impact of the COVID-19 on municipal finances;

CONSIDERING THAT the Government of Québec confirmed, on October 5, 2020, a financial aid in the amount of \$2,038,929 to the City of Beaconsfield;

It is moved by Councillor Robert Mercuri, seconded by Councillor Karen Messier and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that Municipal Council wishes to express its appreciation to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Ms. Andrée Laforest, for the provision of this aid which the City may use to compensate for revenue losses and additional costs related to the pandemic.

60.7

Resolution in support of the initiative Movember raising awareness for men's health, and more specifically, calling November 19, Prostate Cancer Awareness Day

2020-10-371

WHEREAS the Movember Foundation works in partnership with the Canadian Cancer Society to fund leading Canadian researchers in prostate cancer;

WHEREAS prostate cancer is one of the most common forms of cancer in men in Canada:

WHEREAS 1 in 9 Canadians will be diagnosed with this disease during the course of their lifetime;

WHEREAS on average, every day in Canada, 63 men are diagnosed with prostate cancer and 11 men die from it;

WHEREAS the survival rate for prostate cancer can reach almost 100% if the disease is detected in its early stages;

WHEREAS it is recommended that men take an APS test in their fifties to establish their reference value and allow early detection of prostate cancer;

WHEREAS every month of November, men around the world are invited, within the Movember event, to grow a moustache in order to raise public awareness and collect funds for research in male diseases, such as prostate cancer;



WHEREAS several municipalities in Québec as well as Beaconsfield are encouraging the "noeudvembre" fundraising campaign which promotes wearing a bow tie throughout the month of November;

It is moved by Councillor Robert Mercuri, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that Council supports the initiative Movember raising awareness for men's health, and more specifically, calling November 19, Prostate Cancer Awareness Day and that it promotes wearing a bow tie throughout the month of November.

# 70. <u>AGGLOMERATION COUNCIL</u>

70.1 Mayor's report on the decisions made by the Agglomeration Council at its meetings of September 24 and October 22, 2020

His Honour the Mayor indicates that no major decisions have been taken at the last two meetings. However, he adds that that the next year's budget is being worked on and that following the discussions that took place, we can expect the Agglomeration tax to increase between 3 and 5.7%.

## 80. <u>DIRECTORS REPORTS</u>

80.1 Deposit of the Directors reports

Are received and accepted for information purposes:

Urban Planning Department's building report for September 2020; Report of DDO dispatch centre for August and September 2020; Report on live and deferred viewings of Council meetings via webcast.

90. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>

Nil.

95. CLOSING OF MEETING

It is moved by Councillor Dominique Godin, seconded by Councillor David Newell and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to close the regular meeting at 9:03 p.m.

MAYOR	CITY CLERK	